

Long-Range Facilities Planning Committee
Tuesday, January 7, 2025
Minutes

Present: Phil Crocker, Rob Gross, Nick Clark, Joe Connolly, Beth Dykstra, Rick Ferguson, Peter Finch, Aaron Hatfield, Joel Hede, Mike Hummel, Ben Jensen, Peter Marinace, Jack McAskill, Lila Mowat, Natalie Shirzad, Amber Stiles-Gill, Mark Strong, Carol Vanevenhoven, Jed Watters, Tiffany Williams, Ben Woodbury.

Meeting began at 5:30 pm.

Peter Finch provided an introduction by having the committee members share information about themselves.

Phil Crocker provided follow-up information from the previous meeting that was held on November 5, 2024. The follow-up information was regarding the assessment of the physical campus the functional adequacy for each school.

In small groups, participants discussed the assessment of the physical campus and the functional adequacy for each school. Phil asked the groups to identify the three schools with the greatest need.

Group 1: Aaron Hatfield, Jed Watters, Lila Mowat, Beth Dykstra, Ben Woodbury

1. Ahtanum Valley
2. Mountainview
3. Junior High

Group 2: Rick Ferguson, Mike Hummel, Amber Stiles-Gill, Mark Strong

1. Ahtanum Valley
2. Mountainview
3. Mid-Level Campus

Group 3: Nick Clark, Tiffany Williams, Joel Hede, Ben Jensen

1. Ahtanum Valley
2. Mid-Level Campus
3. Mountainview

Group 4: Carol Venevenhoven, Natalie Shirzad, Peter Marinace, Jack McAskill

1. Ahtanum Valley
2. Mountainview
3. Junior High

Phil thanked the group for providing direction for the next meeting. Phil will work with school administrators from the three campuses identified and bring more information to the next meeting regarding ways to address the needs at each school.

Peter said that since Wide Hollow was not identified as one of the three schools for future focus, we will change the meeting location for the next meeting. The meeting on Tuesday, March 4 will be held at the Junior High Library (not Wide Hollow).

Meeting was adjourned at 6:50 pm

COMMITTEE INITIAL PRIORITIZATION – MEETING #2 (January 7, 2025)

PRIORITY 1 - (1,1,1,1): Ahtanum Valley Elementary	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 91% utilization vs capacity (x2) • Poor physical condition, quantifiably the worst score physically (x3) • Poor functional adequacy, quantifiably the worst score functionally (x3) • Age of building (x3) • Portables • Lack of adequate spaces (x2) • Terrible parking lots (dangerous) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor grounds • No cafeteria • Restrooms not ADA compliant • Lack of resources and spaces for Special Education • Small auditorium • Safety • Do not have the ability to expand on site

PRIORITY 2 - (2,2,2/3,3): Mountainview Elementary	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students in portables (x2) • Age of building (x2) • Poor/Fair Physical condition (x3) • Poor Functional condition (x2) • Poor playground area (exposed electrical stuff) • Parking is bad • Principal’s office is also the faculty work room • Kids moving between buildings – safety and weather issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No cafeteria – food prep area is serving area • Every year lots of maintenance is done on the school, costing financial resources • Lacks Special Needs facilities • Lack of bathrooms • Long distance away from rest of district • Smallest elementary school (10% of elementary population)

PRIORITY 3 – (2, 2/3, 3,3): Junior High / Middle Level Campus	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor/Fair Physical condition of Junior High (x2) • Fair Functional condition of both buildings • Program delivery challenges of the 6-8 program across both buildings • Discipline is in portables at Junior High (have to walk kids from office to them) • Bathrooms need updating at Junior High (plumbing) (x2) • Junior High Needs an interior renovation (spaces are ok) • High usage • Every student in the district goes through these two buildings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of opportunity for community because of two separate buildings • Unsatisfactory categories are more problematic • Auditorium update – used by the whole district, not ADA compliant (x2) • Lack of space/ability to bring all students together • Age of Junior High facility • Large campus – not secured, kids walking between buildings • No space for kids to have all-weather protection

West Valley Yakima Facility Information

Comparing Physical Evaluation (ICOS Score) and Functional Adequacy (FA Score) between 2018 and 2024 evaluations

Facility	Year Built / Renovated	Square Feet	2018 ICOS Score	2024 ICOS Score	2018 FA Score	2024 FA Score
ELEMENTARY						
Ahtanum Valley	1950/1957/1960/1993	44,657	50.97	52.19	76	70
Apple Valley	2020	65,250	n/a	100	44	96
Cottonwood	1992	60,021	50.93	61.5	78	85
Mountainview	1949/1959/1993	28,808	63.17	62.69	62	58
Summitview	2020	65,250	n/a	100	57	98
Wide Hollow	1932/1986	56,098	73.01	72.22	89	89
MIDDLE SCHOOL						
WV Middle School	1995	106,623	81.99	79.46	81	83
WV Junior High	1978	117,070	62	61.1	69	86
HIGH SCHOOL						
WV High School	2008	239,691	90	90	98	92
OTHER						
Innovation Center	1950/2009	89,547	92.98	88.35	90	100

COMBINED DATA

School	Permanent Capacity	Nov 2024 Enrollment	Current Utilization	Projected Enrollment 2029-30	Projected Utilization 2029-30	Physical Condition	Functional Adequacy
Ahtanum Valley ES	421	384	91%			Poor	Poor
Apple Valley ES	568	444	78%			Excellent	Good
Cottonwood ES	487	363	75%			Poor/Fair	Fair
Mountainview ES	290	244	84%			Poor/Fair	Poor
Summitview ES	586	479	82%			Excellent	Good
Wide Hollow ES	443	431	97%			Fair	Fair/Good
Elementary Total	2,794	2,345	84%	2,295	82%		
West Valley Mid-Level Campus	1,492	1,176	79%	1,258	84%	Fair (MS) Poor/Fair (JHS)	Fair (MS) Fair (JHS)
West Valley HS	1,542	1,286*	83%	1,299*	84%	Good	Good
Innovation Center	562	191	34%	<i>Included in 7-12 calculations</i>		Good	Good

